PTO/SB/21 (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006, OMB 0651-0031

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Application Number 09/574,327

Filing Date May 19, 2000

First Named Inventor Albert Tung-chu Man

Art Unit 2133

Examiner Name Fritz Alphonse

Attorney Docket Number Automation unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Fritz Alphonse

HB 0 7 2005 B		Art Unit	2133		
(to be used for	r all correspondence after initial filing)	Examiner Name	Fritz Alpho	Fritz Alphonse	
<b>V</b>	eges in This Submission	Attorney Docket Number	0100.00007	0710	
TRAUE					
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)					
Fee Transmittal Form  Fee Attached  Amendment/Reply  After Final  Affidavits/declaration(s)  Extension of Time Request  Express Abandonment Request		Drawing(s)  Licensing-related Papers  Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocatior Change of Correspondence A Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund  CD, Number of CD(s)  Landscape Table on CD	on Address	After Allowance Communication to TC  Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences  Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)  Proprietary Information  Status Letter  Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):  -return postcard	
Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53					
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT					
Firm Name Vedder, Price, Kaufman & Kammholz, P.C.					
Signature Oc / Rockways					
Printed name	Printed name Christopher J. Reckamp				
Date	February 3, 2005	F	Reg. No.	34,414	
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING					
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:					
Signature / A. A. 7// Ar					

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Christine A. Wright

Typed or printed name

Date

February 3, 2005

**PATENT** 

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Albert Tung-chu Man, et al.

Serial No. 09/574,327

Filing Date: May 19, 2000 Confirmation No. 8261 Examiner: Fritz Alphonse

Art Group: 2675

Our File No.: 00100.00.0710 Docket No.: 0100.0000710

Title: APPARATUS FOR TESTING DIGITAL DISPLAY DRIVER AND

**METHOD THEREOF** 

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Certificate of First Class Mailing
I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the
United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope
addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents,
P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA-22313-1450, on this date.

2-3-

Christine Wright

## **RESPONSE**

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed November 3, 2004, Applicants submit the following remarks.

## REMARKS

Applicants respectfully traverse and request reconsideration.

Claims 1-9, 11-17, 19-24 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Hung in view of Perez. Applicants respectfully reassert the relevant remarks made in the previous office action. In addition, Applicants respectfully submit that it appears that claim language is being overlooked. It appears that the first step in Applicants' claim 1 has also been completely overlooked. This portion requires, among other things, providing digital graphics data having an expected characteristic value, to a digital graphics port of a graphics controller under test and which is coupled to a computer via a bus. This limitation does not appear to be addressed in the office action and as such the office action does not provide a prima facia showing of obviousness and the claims are in condition for allowance for this reason alone.